# Minutes of St Just Neighbourhood Plan Built Environment Group

## St Just Council Chamber, 7pm 30<sup>th</sup> October 2018

#### 1. Welcome from Jess.

#### **Present:**

Dot Stevens, Jess Colliver, Richard Gowan, Jo Forsyth, David Osbourne-Broad, Vic Moulsley, Jonathan Hancock, Rob Chadder, Steve Hall, Judith Summers, Tamsin Young

#### Apologies.

Kirsty Prichard, Jim Hurditch,

2. Minutes from previous meeting.

Approved as a true representation.

3. Matters arising.

None other than agenda items.

4. Report and feedback from David O-B regarding the Centre for Sustainable Energy support.

"All of my references from Tuesday night came from the website <u>www.cse.org.uk</u> except the mapping tools.

- The first was from **Low-Carbon Neighbourhood Planning** document. https://www.cse.org.uk/downloads/reports-and-publications/policy/community-energy/energy-advice/planning/renewables/low-carbon-neighbourhood-planning-guidebook.pdf
- Pages 49-54 inclusive have all of the details to consider when starting the process of a NP. As I mentioned at the time, it would be good for all groups to look at the information and see if we/they have missed out on any details in our plan.
- The second document which had the questionnaire in, is called **How Green is My Plan Rural**. https://www.cse.org.uk/downloads/reports-and-publications/policy/community-energy/planning/renewables/how-green-is-my-plan-urban-suburban.pdf

There is a second version called **How Green is My Plan - Urban/Suburban,** not **Rural.** https://www.cse.org.uk/downloads/reports-and-publications/policy/community-energy/planning/renewables/how-green-is-my-plan-rural.pdf

The two are virtually identical in the content for the questionnaire. Pages 3-10 contain the questionnaire for us to go through. All groups should tick the boxes and then we/Steering Group can compare overall scores! Pg 15 has example of Gwinear-Gwithian approach to policy about Wind Turbines. Worth looking at to see how they approached the situation.

As I said at the time, we must make sure that any future plans, short or longterm,

must be put in the NP document. If not, then any programmes that we want to initiate, would be refused! That is current policy - might change in the future. Looking ahead at renewables generally and placing the ideas in our NP document, this might help us with grants and future funding possibilities.

- 'Funding your Project' There are three mentioned Trusts that might be useful to look at for local funding as well. https://www.cse.org.uk/local-energy/funding-your-project
- Allchurches Trust, Asda Foundation & The Ashden Trust (Sainsbury Family Charitable Trust).

### Mapping aids.

One is on *Community21* which is a mapping tool. Enables you to put flags on map, then enclose text, images and videos inside the marker. Then anyone can look at the map, click on a flag and hey presto! This should make the younger generation more interested possibly in what is going on around them, if only to see where they live! People would be able to leave comments themselves perhaps. This tool will help us to update various aspects as they materialise as well. important that the site is linked to the various social media outlets.

You are able to look at other groups and perhaps get some ideas for ourselves - endless opportunities.

Really needs one or two people to update and monitor what is happening.

- O The other is a government site which is run by DEFRA and has numerous layers that can be added individually or collectively. This can be found at https://magic.defra.gov.uk/
- O This site reflects the landscape and coastline's natural features as well as designated areas. Might be useful tool for looking at areas that we want to protect and also for future potential house building."

Some discussion followed. David had communicated with Graham McGrath, Dan & Harriet. It was decided that this requires steering group involvement to ensure that all groups are working towards the same goal. They will also review questionnaire. Find out if other nearby NDPs have used these. Can use initially to help deal with our options prior to questions. Judith & David to liaise. It was suggested that Richard Gowan would be very good with regard to the mapping tools.

5. Further work to add points to Sarah Furley topics documentation. See documents sent previously (Matrix, Topics and questions list). Further work was undertaken to attempt to ensure that all topics had been included on

the Sarah Furley document. It was decided to send this document back to Sarah for her further comment.

### 6. Next steps.

- SG meeting last night decided they will be working on project plan.
- It was considered whether the BEG may be running ahead and may end up going the wrong way however the group were generally in agreement that whatever we are doing is of benefit in the long-term.
- A few people have suggested that the 2 working groups merge together. Looking at the matrix, there are very few topics that are solely the domain of 1 group or the other, so this may be of benefit as we progress. Jess/Dot to speak with Grenville to see what he thinks.

## 7. Agenda and dates for next meetings.

20<sup>th</sup> Nov, 4<sup>th</sup> Dec, 15<sup>th</sup> Jan Next agenda to include feedback from Sarah Furley 8. AOB.

Pendeen Art event – anyone going? Presentation to next council meeting – might someone from CEG go?